



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,288	1,288 08/30/2000		Hidefumi Yoshida	0610.64705	2568
7:	590	10/02/2002			
Patrick G Bur		. 1	EXAMINER		
Greer Burns & Crain Ltd 300 S Wacker Drive 25th floor				NGUYEN, CHANH DUY	
Chicago, IL 60606				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2675	1
				DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
٠,;		09/651,288	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Chanh Nguyen	2675	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty, operiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	on.
3 (a lus 1)⊠	Posponsive to communication(s) filed a	n 20 August 2000		
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed o This action is FINAL . 2b)			
3)□	,=	This action is non-final.	hana maaaaa 18aa aa 1a 11a - 11	
•	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice usion of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	ters, prosecution as to the merits D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	; IS
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-113 is/are pending in the app	olication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-113 are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[] accepted or b)☐ objected to by th	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objectio			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
40.	If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
* (Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for 	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	•		ition).
а) The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has be	en received.	•
Attachmen			00	
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/651,288

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A. Species of Figure 9
 - B. Species of Figure 11
 - C. Species of Figure 13
 - D. Species of Figure 16
 - E. Species of Figure 19
 - F. Species of Figure 21
 - G. Species of Figure 22
 - H. Species of Figure 24
 - I. Species of Figure 26
 - J. Species of Figure 28
 - K. Species of Figure 32
 - L. Species of Figure 36
 - M. Species of Figure 39
 - N. Species of Figure 42
 - O. Species of Figure 43
 - P. Species of Figure 44
 - Q. Species of Figure 45

Application/Control Number: 09/651,288

Art Unit: 2675

- R. Species of Figure 46
- S. Species of Figure 47
- T. Species of Figure 49
- U. Species of Figure 50
- V. Species of Figure 51
- Y. Species of Figure 52
- W. Species of Figure 53
- X. Species of Figure 54
- Z(1). Species of Figure 62
- Z(2). Species of Figure 65
- Z(3). Species of Figure 74
- Z(4). Species of Figure 78
- Z(5). Species of Figure 80.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/651,288

Art Unit: 2675

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-6603.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, Steven Saras can be reached at 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Chanh Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2675